Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,974	KRYZAK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted M. Wang	2611
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Ted M. Wang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Justin Liu</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2007	Time: <u>1:15 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	,
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 and 2		
Prior art documents discussed:	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the appropriate of the condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a wr record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview.
	•	•
Francisco (SDE Signature)		
Examiner/SPÉ Signature) (Applicant	d/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20071206